Introduction

1. The Applicant

members, consider a compromise of making partial payments as the investigation continues.

13. On

Case No. UNDT/NBI/2023/027 Judgment No.: UNDT/2023/104

received by UNJSPF on 11 November 2022. The contested decision thus caused the processing of the P.35 form to take

which raises doubts as to the authenticity of this loan agreement. Further, the Applicant does not submit any form of evidence that he in fact paid this interest amount. He failed to provide adequate evidence that he had these expenses.

54. On the moral damages1(da)8 12 Tf1 0 0 (ma43 654.10 0 1 0 g0 G.9 /Spa.9.10 0 R40(a)4(sG[(mora

Applicant listed the following:

Amount at Risk USD46,546.38 Amount Contradicting Sick Leave Registrations USD7,786.99 Total Amount to Be Recovered USD5,803.24.³⁶

62. The amounts at issue are inconsistent, or at least evolving. As noted above, the Cigna chart showed that the amount at risk was USD46,546.38, while the amount contradicting sick leave registration was USD7,786.99 and the total amount to be

Yet another amount appears in an email referencing the

d

D7,689.00.³⁷

63. That email is also revealing in that it contains this quotation:

The list below in our email is incorrect and shows XXXXXXXXX is part of my large CIGNA investigation; this person does not appear in my case spreadsheet or within GoCase (that I could find).³⁸

64.**EXEMPT010012E112**/253/

systematic monitoring disclosed. Unlike in other of the related cases, the record in this

71. The second black box is what information was transmitted from Cigna to OIOS.

from

,

⁴⁵ ST/AI/15/Rev.2 may not be used 46

must be a sufficient level of probability of the indebtedness, the value of it estimated and the notice given to the separating staff member, in order to enable him/her to take an informed decision whether to offer a kind of surety in exchange of the release of the documents while the determination is being made. Obviously, moreover, the 47

76. In this case there is no evidence in the record to show the probability of indebtedness, nor the basis for estimating its value. Moreover, the record shows no specific notice given to the Applicant.

77. The Respondent states that since the Applicant had been ihtstyleNetSRCyEnderRes6918(off)6 72q0i6 e

Again, the Respondent did not present any evidence to the Tribunal or to the USG/DMSPC regarding what w1vdy e

caused by his alleged medical insurance fraud. The Organization failed to do so (timely). $^{\rm 48}$

80.

⁵⁰ The effective

date is to be the date of separation.⁵¹

84.

Case No. UNDT/NBI/2023/027 Judgment No.: UNDT/2023/104