		Case No.:	UNDT/NBI/2019/150
	UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL	Judgment No.:	UNDT/2021/021
Some to		Date:	9 March 2021
		Original:	English
Before:	Judge Rachel Sophie Sikwese		
Registry:	Nairobi		
Registrar:	Abena Kwakye-Berko		

BATTISTA

Case No.: UNDT/NBI/2

verify her employment and academic background and specified the employment history to be verified.²

6. On 30 April 2019, the RVU notified the Applicant of the contested decision.³

7. Qp"47"Lwpg"423; ."vj g"hqto gt"kpewo dgpv'qh'vj g"Rqukskqp"kphqto gf "WP KUHCøu" Ej kgh' qh' Uvchh' *õEqUö+" vj cv' j g" y qwf " pqv' dg" tgwtpkpi " vq" WP KUHC " htqo " j ku" secondment. On 26 June 2019, the CoS requested the Chief Human Resources Qhhkegt "*õEJ TQö+" vq" kpkskcvg" c" õrecruit from rosterö selection exercise to fill the Position.⁴

8. On 16 July 2019, UNISFA informed the Applicant of the non-renewal of her temporary appointment.⁵

9. The Applicant separated from the Organization on 14 October 2019.⁶

10. On 23 October 2019, the Tribunal received an application challenging the contested decision.

11. The Respondent filed a reply on 27 November 2019.

12. The Applicant filed a rejoinder to the reply on 11 January 2021.

13. Vj g"Vtkdwpcn'j gff "c"ecug" o cpci go gpv"f kuewukqp"* EOF ö+"qp"9 February 2021. At the CMD, the parties agreed that the application would be determined based on their pleadings and supporting documentation without the need for an oral hearing.

14. The Applicant and Respondent filed closing submissions on 19 and 24 February 2021 respectively.

² Reply, annex R/3/

³ Application, annex 2.

⁴ Reply, annex 5.

⁵ Reply, annex 6.

⁶ Reply, annex 4.

Case No.: UNDT/NBI/2019/150 Judgment No.: UNDT/2021/021

1. The Dispute Tribunal shall be competent to hear and pass judgement on an application filed by an individual, as provided for in article 3, paragraph 1, of the present statute, against the Secretary-General as the Chief Administrative Officer of the United Nations:

(a) To appeal an administrative decision that is alleged to be in noncompliance with the terms of appointment or the contract of go r nq {o gpv0' Vj g" vgto u" õcopvtcevö" cpf " õvgto u" qh" cr r qkpvo gpvö" include all pertinent regulations and rules and all relevant administrative issuances in force at the time of alleged non-compliance.

23. For an application to be receivable, the decision being challenged must be an $\tilde{o}cdmkpkwtcvkxg'' fgekukqp\ddot{o}0$ One of the key characteristics of an administrative fgekukqp''ku'' y cv''kv'' o wuv''r tqf weg'' cf xgtug'' rgi cn'' eqpugs wgpegu'' hqt'' c'' uxchh'' o go dgt øu'' employment contract or terms of appointment.⁸

24. It is the duty of the Applicant to show the Tribunal that the impugned decision has a direct adverse impact on her terms of appointment or contract of employment.

Case No.: UNDT/NBI/2019/150 Judgment No.: UNDT/2021/021

one contract or anotherö"is pqv'uwrrqtvgf "d{"cp{"gxkfgpeg0'J gt"tgcuqpkpi "vj cv'õy g" pggf "hqt"y qtmikp"vj g"uco g"tqng"eqpvkpwgf ö"fqgu"pqv'kp"kugnh"i wctcpvgg"tgpgy cn'qh'j gt "