United Nations Dispute Tribunal	Case No.:	UNDT/NBI/2020/093
	Judgment No.:	UNDT/2020/196
	Date:	23 November 2020
	Original:	English

Case No. UNDT/NBI/2020/093

Judgment No.: UNDT/2020/196

Introduction

1. The Applicant is a Movement Control Assistant at the FS-5 level working with

the United Nations Support Office in Somalia ("UNSOS").1

2. By an application filed on 19 November 2020, he contests an administrative

decision of 10 November 2020, pursuant to which UNSOS informed him that, with

effect from the November 2020 payroll, the Organization will be deducting a monthly

sum of USD5,032.33 from his salary in honouring a court judgment on child support.

3. The Applicant requested management evaluation on 17 November 2020, which

has not yet been obtained.

4. The Tribunal decided that the application does not require forwarding to the

Respondent for a reply.

Considerations

5. As a preliminary matter, the Tribunal notes that it is competent to adjudicate

the merits only where the receivability requirement is satisfied. It is, accordingly,

competent to consider a receivability issue on its own initiative, whether or not it has

been raised by the parties.² The Tribunal recalls that the matter of hounouring child

support obligation was previously subject of this Tribunal's Judgment No.

UNDT/2020/181, whereby the application was rejected as not receivable for want of

an administrative decision. In the present case, the Tribunal finds that the matter is also

not receivable, albeit for a different reason: whereas the existence of an administrative

decision does not seem questionable, the application has been filed without awaiting a

response to the request for management evaluation.

6. The Tribunal recalls that, although staff rule 11.2 and art. 8 of UNDT Statute

require only "requesting" management evaluation and not actually obtaining it, the

¹ Application, section I.

² E.g., *O'Neill* 2011-UNAT-182, para. 31.

Page 2 of 4

Case No. UNDT/NBI/2020/093

Judgment No.: UNDT/2020/196

Appeals Tribunal stressed the obligation to await management evaluation, mindful that this process provides the Administration an opportunity to correct any errors in an administrative decision and resolve disputes without the necessity to involve judicial review.³ Another rationale noted by the Appeals Tribunal for management evaluation and the attendant requirement to wait for the period necessary to obtain it⁴, is that it provides for the applicant an opportunity to consider reasons on the part of the Administration prior to drafting and filing of the application and in this way fosters rationality and completeness of the argument before the Tribunal. In view of this jurisprudence, the Tribunal considers that the application which, as the present one, had been filed without awaiting the result of management evaluation (or expiry of the time limit for it) is not receivable. Such situation, for an applicant who wishes to pursue his or her claim before the Dispute Tribunal, calls for a new filing made in accordance with the applicable time limits.

7. Noting that in connection with the present case the Applicant has also filed two

Case No. UNDT/NBI/2020/093

Judgment No.: UNDT/2020/196