
D A O S SP R B AL

Introduction

1. By application filed on 7th April 2016, the Applicant, a former staff member of the International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (ICGEB), Islamabad, Pakistan County Office ("PCO"), contests the decision not to select the applicant for the post of Program Assistant, S-5, Islamabad, Pakistan, Pesawa, Pakistan, and not to inform the applicant of the selection.

2. The Respondent files a reply to the application on 18 April 2016.

Procedural history

3. On April 2016, the Applicant filed a motion for production of documents. By Order No. 87 (April 16) of May 2016, the Respondent's motion for production of documents was granted on 9 May 2016.

4.

7. On September² 17, the Appellant's case was assigned to the undersigned Judge and by Order No. 17 (A² 17) of 11 September² 17, the Respondent was ordered to file additional documents and to appear before the undersigned management session on 18 September² 17.

8. On²² September² 17, the Respondent filed a motion for extension of time to comply with Order No. 17 (A² 17). The court, by Order No. 18¹ (A² 17) of² 5 September² 17, ordered that the documents to be filed by² 6 September² 17, for which the Respondent applied.

9. By Order No. 187 (A² 17) of October² 17, the court set the date for a hearing on the merits in relation to the Appellant's non-settlement case, which was held on 5 and 11 October² 17. The parties filed the closing submissions on² 7 October² 17.

10. The Appellant has two other cases before the court:

a. Intellectual Property Case (eg. Steeple Case No. D A² 16 7), see

18. On February² 16, the Applicant requested management evaluation of the non-selection reasons regarding the recruitment of Programme Assistant, S-5, Position Seton, C F, PCO. She also sought the suspension of the recruitment process using the consideration of the management evaluation request.

e. e s o t st ng of e te na an ates fo t e nte v ew wa

f. Party for staff on above post, offering non-renewal, permit the
sustaining of staff but does not equate the retention of an
employee with the following retention of an

g. The application of the general party agreement applies to an
employee after a retention process.

Consideration

2. The statement that the union's own management appointment and
promotions to the new retention process was a result of an
improper, equal opportunity law violation, to assess whether the
decision was tainted by the factors, union's action was manifestly
unreasonable (see 11- A-1, 1- A-2).

3. The union's power to determine the retention of an
appointment employee, whether the basis (whether a union
applicant), simulation, failure to give a party full and
the failure of the employee a equal (1- A-2).

4. The establishment of the Secretary's board's retention

² 7. In the Appeals, the Appellant stated that he had not seen the relevant page of the report to find any facts in support of his contention, and that he had not seen the relevant page of the report to find any facts in support of his contention, and that he had not seen the relevant page of the report to find any facts in support of his contention. The Appellant stated that he had not seen the relevant page of the report to find any facts in support of his contention, and that he had not seen the relevant page of the report to find any facts in support of his contention.

² 8. The Appellant stated that he had not seen the relevant page of the report to find any facts in support of his contention, and that he had not seen the relevant page of the report to find any facts in support of his contention. The Appellant stated that he had not seen the relevant page of the report to find any facts in support of his contention, and that he had not seen the relevant page of the report to find any facts in support of his contention. The Appellant stated that he had not seen the relevant page of the report to find any facts in support of his contention, and that he had not seen the relevant page of the report to find any facts in support of his contention.

² 9. The Appellant stated that he had not seen the relevant page of the report to find any facts in support of his contention, and that he had not seen the relevant page of the report to find any facts in support of his contention. The Appellant stated that he had not seen the relevant page of the report to find any facts in support of his contention, and that he had not seen the relevant page of the report to find any facts in support of his contention. The Appellant stated that he had not seen the relevant page of the report to find any facts in support of his contention, and that he had not seen the relevant page of the report to find any facts in support of his contention.

. During the hearing, the Appellant was asked to explain the meaning of an abbreviation that he had used in his hearing notes about the Appellant. Unfortunately, he did not remember. The Appellant did not show how to abbreviate, and the Appellant did not show how to abbreviate. The Appellant did not show how to abbreviate, and the Appellant did not show how to abbreviate. The Appellant did not show how to abbreviate, and the Appellant did not show how to abbreviate.

1. The foregoing notwithstanding, the bona fides that the above the
equity not have a effect on the Appellant's non-see ton.
Consequently, as noted by the Appellate, bona fides, we have an equity as no
impact on the status of a staff member, the staff member's not entitle to
resignation (15- A -5).

2. However, based on the evidence from the Appellant during the hearing,
the bona fides satisfied that the suffer stress and anxiety because of that
pervasive violation, warranting the award of SD5 as nominal damages.

Conclusion

3. In view of the foregoing, the bona fides D C D S:

a. The application as fact on the evidence is not to see that the
Appellant for the post of Programme Assistant, S-5, Female, Poro
Seton, Pesawa, Pakistan, see the

b. The Appellant awarded SD5 for the damage suffered as a result
of the failure by C F PCO to officially notify of the non-see ton

. The sum shall be payable within 6 days from the date of this Judgment