

Case No.: UNDT/NBI/2016/076
JudgmentNo.:UNDT/2018/002

Date:

11 January 2018

Original:

English

Before: JudgeGoolamMeeran

Registry: Nairobi

Registrar: Abena KwakyeBerko

**DAHAN** 

٧.

# SECRETARYGENERAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS

**JUDGMENT** 

Counsel for the Applicant: Nicole Washienko, OSLA

Counsel for the Respondent: Nicole Wynn, ALS/OHRM

#### INTRODUCTION

- 1. On 19 October 2016the Applicant filed an application in which she contested the decision of the Advisory Board on Compensation Claims (ABCC) to deny her claim for compensation under Appendix D to the Staff Rules on the ground that it was not filed within the requisite time limit
- 2. It is the Applicant's case that the ABCC was incorrectional that her claim was not filed in time. Further that in rejecting her request for a waiver of the time limit in light of the exceptional circumstances hier case the ABCC did not properly and lawfully exercisites discretion.
- 3. By reply dated 21November 2016 the Respondent submitted that the claim should be rejected on the grounds that it was filed almost 9 years after the expiration of the time limit and that the refusal by the ABCC to grant a waiver of the time limit was a lawful and proper exerciof the Secretar (\$\text{ga2}(h)\$)19(a)3(t)-22()-30(t)-

particular, the following dates and eventuse relevant to a determination of the issues in the case

a. On 12 September 2008 the Applicant emailed her supervisor complaining of back pain and requesting threvipsion of an appropriate chair to perform her duties. This complaint was referred to the Court Management Section. In the absence of

e. A m

appropriate chair. She submitted in the circumstances and notwithstanding the fact that she was suffering ackpain for several years prior to that her claim was nevertheless within time ince it was made within the requisite time beginning with a definitive diagnosis he also submitted that the fact that she was suffering from cancer and undergoing medical treatment over an extended period inhibited her from filing a claim at an earlier stage

9. Having filed her claim on 27 March 2013he Applicant's counsel made numerousfollow up enquiries and was informed that the matter was under review. At no stage during this reviewhich the facts indicate took three years she asked for any explanation, clarification o

Recommends to the Secretary General that due to the insufficient explanation for the delay in claim submission, the claimant's request to waive the provisions of Article 12 of Appendix D be denied, and the claim therefore be denied.

### THE APPLICABLE LAW

14. Article 12 of ST/SGB/Staff Rules/Appendix D/Rev.1("Appendix D") states

Claims for compensation under the sules shall be submitted within four months of the death of the staff member or the injury or onset of the illness; provided, however, that in exceptional circumstances the Secretægeneral may accept for consideration a claim made at a later date.

## THE ISSUES

15. The issues for determination are:

a.

17. Claims must, in accordance withhe provisions of aixtle 12 of Appendix D, be filed withinfour months of the injury or onset of the illness, if applicable However, the Secretar General has discretion to accept for consideration a claim made at a later date if the exerce exceptional circumstances. This is a wide

consideration on its merits. Before examining this question the will be helpful to produce the BCC minutes recording the decision in its entirety.

The Advisory Board on Compensation Claims,

Having considered tits 493 meeting on 14 June 2016, the claim submitted by the above ferenced claimant for compensation under Appendix D to the Staff Rules for injuries (neck and back pain) sustained in connection with her use of inadequate work chairduring her employment with the ICTR from 2000 to 2012 in Arusha, Tanzania,

Having also considered statement from the claimant and her O0.0 0.0 1.eLvi0.0 0dherd

Case NoUNDT/NBI/2016/076 JudgmentNo. UNDT/2018/002

for institution or correction of the required procedure, which, in any case, should not exceed three months. [...].

25. In compliance with the ruling in Baracungana 2017-UNAT-725, in which the United Nations Appeals Tribunal ("UNAT") emphasized the need for this Tribunal to have the concurrence of the Secretary eral to remand a case to the ABCC, this case is so remanded.

## **JUDGMENT**

- 26. The decision of the ABCC to deny the Applicant's requestfor consideration of her claim for compensation un expendix D to the Staff Rules is rescinded
- 27. Subject to the concurrence of the Secre@eyneral, this claim is remanded to the ABCC for propeonsideration in accordance with art. 10.4 of the UNDT Statute.

(Signed)

JudgeGoolam Meeran

Dated this 1th day of January 2018

Entered in the Register on thisth day of January 2018