

Case No.: UNDT/NY/2012/086

Judgment No.: UNDT/2013/031 Date: 25 February 2013

# Introduction

1. The Applicant contests the decision denying his request to be considered for

# **Background**

- 3. The Applicant began his service in December 1991 as a Privatization Specialist with the United Nations Volunteers ("UNV") in Guyana. He held different posts over the years until March 2000 when he was appointed to a 300-series contract as a Programme and Operations Specialist.
- 4. On 1 May 2004, the Applicant's 300-series contract was converted to a 100-series fixed-term appointment and, on 4 August 2004, the Applicant was reassigned to the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime ("UNODC"). The Applicant is currently the Country Representative in Bolivia for UNODC.
- 5. From 1 July 2008 through 31 December 2008, the Applicant took SLWOP.
- 6. By memorandum dated 12 June 2012, the Applicant was notified that he was not eligible to be considered for conversion to a permanent appointment due to the fact that his six months SLWOP resulted in him having not acquired five years of continuous service on a fixed-term appointment under the 100-series of the Staff Rules by 30 June 2009.
- 7. On 10 August 2012, the Applicant requested management evaluation of the 12 June 2012 decision. On 24 September 2012, the Under-Secretary-General for Management, on behalf of the Secretary-General, affirmed the administrative decision. On 21 December 2012, the Applicant submitted his application to

#### Consideration

# Applicable law

8. ST/SGB/2009/10, dated 23 June 2009, states:

### **Section 1 - Eligibility**

To be eligible for consideration for conversion to a permanent appointment under the present bulletin, a staff member must by 30 June 2009:

- (a) Have completed, or complete, five years of continuous service on fixed-term appointments under the 100 series of the Staff Rules; and
- (b) Be under the age of 53 years on the date such staff member has completed or completes the five years of qualifying service.
- 9. ST/SGB/2011/1 (Staff Rules and Staff Regulations on the United Nations), applicable at the time, states:

#### **Rule 5.3**

## **Special Leave**

- (e) Staff members shall not accrue service credits towards sick, annual and home leave, salary increment, seniority, termination indemnity and repatriation grant during periods of special leave with partial pay or without pay exceeding one month. Continuity of service shall not be considered brokery periods of special leav@emphasis added)
- 10. Office of Human Resources Management ("OHRM") Guidelines on Consideration for Conversation to Permanent Appointment of Staff Members of the Secretariat Eligible to be Considered as at 30 June 2009, dated 29 January 2010, states in part:
  - 5. With respect to the requirement of five years of continuous service, the following should be noted:
  - (c) Special leave with or without pay for any duration will not interrupt the continuity of service nor render the staff member ineligible for consideration for conversion to permanent appointment.

However, period of special leave without pay exceeding one month will not be counted towards the five years.

11. ST/SGB/1997/1 which was replaced by ST/SGB/2009/4 (Procedures for the promulgation of administrative issuances), both state:

#### Section 1

## **Categories of administrative issuances**

- 1.1 In accordance with the provisions of the present bulletin, the following administrative issuances may be promulgated:
  - (a) Secretary-General's bulletins;
  - (b) Administrative instructions.
- Rules, policies or procedures intended for general application may only be established by dubyomulgated Secretary-General's bulletins and administrative instruction(semphasis added)

#### Continuous service

- 12. The only question before the Tribunal is whether the application of the Guidelines to ST/SGB/2009/10 is lawful or whether it either contradicts or restricts the rights afforded to staff members by a duly promulgated administrative issuance. It is noted that no other reason is given for denying the Applicant a permanent appointment.
- 13. The language of ST/SGB/2009/10 requires that staff members have completed, or complete, five years of continuous service for them to be eligible for consideration to permanent appointment. The only other limitation inserted into the bulletin is that these five years of continuous service be performed on a 100-series contract and that the concerned staff member be under the age of 53.
- 14. The Respondent submits that for the purpose of determining the duration of the Applicant's continuous service with the Organization, he applied sec. 5 of the Guidelines which were approved by the Assistant Secretary-General, OHRM.
- 15. The purpose of a guideline is to clarify an administrative issuance and to provide the reader with an indication regarding the means to interpret a provision. It

may not do so in a way that results in the application of the provision becoming more restrictive than originally intended.

- 16. As stated by the Dispute Tribunal in EgglesfieldUNDT/2012/208, "[i]f the construction of the rule is that there should be additional pre-conditions set ... surely such conditions would have had to be promulgated in an administrative issuance in accordance with established procedures".
- 17. Staff rule 5.3 specifically defines the type of benefits and entitlements that may be affected by a staff member's SLWOP. The rule further notes that SLWOP will not affect the continuity of a staff member's employment and, as stated in Egglesfield"continuity of employment ensures that an employee is not disentitled [to] benefits that normally accrue through continuous service".
- 18. While the bulletin had to go through a stringent process prior to its promulgation on 23 June 2009, the Guidelines established by OHRM are no more than a memorandum which was approved seven months after the bulletin came into force. It was never intended to restrict the rights of staff members but to explain in plain language what the bulletin meant and how it would be implemented.
- 19. If ST/SGB/2009/10 intended the effect stipulated by section 5(c) of the Guidelines it would have said so in explicit terms.
- 20. The Respondent submits that the Administration "lawfully exercised its discretionary power by defining how "continuous service" should be interpreted". However, as stated in Castelli 2010-UNAT-037, "the administration may not subvert the entitlements of a staff member by abusing its powers, in violation, of the Staff Regulations and Staff Rules". Further, the Tribunal also stated in Korotina UNDT/2012/178, that
  - 31. ... at the top of the hierarchy of the Organization's internal legislation is the Charter of the United Nations, followed by resolutions of the General Assembly, staff regulations, staff rules, Secretary-General's bulletins, and administrative instructions.

Information circulars, office guidelines, manuals, memoranda, and other similar documents are at the very bottom of this hierarchy and lack the legal authority vested in properly promulgated administrative issuances.

32. Circulars, guidelines, manuals, and other similar documents may, in appropriate situations, set standards and procedures for the guidance of both management and staff, but only as long as they are consistent with the instruments of higher authority and other general obligations that apply in an employment relationship (Tolstopiatov

may legitimately be inferred that but for the refusal to include his period of SLWOP the Applicant would have met the criteria for eligibility for a permanent appointment.

### Conclusion

- 24. It is the Judgment of the Tribunal that the decision to deny the Applicant conversion to a permanent appointment was unlawful.
- 25. The decision is rescinded.
- 26. The Tribunal will hold a hearing at **10.00 a.m. NY Time, Friday, 1 March 2013,** to determine any consequential relief to be afforded to the Applicant who will be required to give evidence in