



J

THE

THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL

14. Mr. Awe's application for revision of Appeals Tribunal Judgment No. 2016-UNAT-667 is based on his claim to have discovered decisive new facts. To establish this, he relies on the report of a FFP which considered his complaints of abuse of authority and harassment against the COS, UNAMI and the CMS, UNAMI.

15. Notwithstanding that Mr. Awe did not name the CAS, UNAMI, in those complaints, he claims that: "The findings of the investigative panel provide abundant evidence of the illegal role played by [the CAS, UNAMI]; the ambiguity in communication; procedural irregularity; absence of good faith; and failure to consider rele

T

Judgment

25. The application for revision of Judgment No. 2016-UNAT-667 is dismissed.

