

by

Legal Adviser Ministry of Foreign and European Affairs of the Slovak Republic

Resumed Session of the Sixth Committee

Crimes against humanityó Cluster I Mr. Chair,

Slovakia fully alignsitself with the statement made on behalf of the European Union and its Member States

The fact that crimes against humanity can occur in times of peace only reflects the current status and the development of international law after Nuremberg. The no prejudice clause follows the mode of prior legal instruments providing the necessaryflexibility for Statesthat wish to enact broader definitions in their domestic legal orders.

Mr. Chair,

I will now addressjointly draft articles 3 and 4 They both regulate obligations of States and are closely interrelated. We note with satisfaction that the first two provisions of draft article 3 are in line with the relevant case lawof the International Court of Justice referred to on various occasions

The passive obligation not to engage in acts constituting crimes against humanity is a confirmation of a well-established rule that even if State cannot commit a crimeunder international law, such conduct, if committed by organs or persons over whom State has control, can be attributable to a State and thus triggerthat State's responsibility. Importantly, this obligation includes not only the commission of such acts, but also aiding, directing or coercing. We are open to further discussions, as to whether those modes also encompase abetting or incitement to commit crimes against humanity, even if not specifically mentioned in the ILC commentaries.

The active obligation of Statesto prevent and punish crimes against humanity is equally important. It is directly linked with draft article 4 specifying two streams of the preventive obligation. Obligation of prevention is an obligation of conduct, asdefined in Article 14, paragraph 3 of the Articles on Responsibility of Statefor Internationally Wrongful Acts and further confirmed by the jurisprudence of the ICJThe breach of such obligation would only occur if crimes against humanity were actually committed.

Regardingparagraph 3 of draft article 3, weare pleased tonote that the text does not limit the applicability of the provision only to the conduct of States.

Finally, draft article 4 is a reflection of a due diligence obligation to prevent crimes against humanity ab initio